

Ask4Sam Highlights Delayed Cancer Diagnosis Review in Queens

September 22, 2026

GARDEN CITY, NY - September 22, 2026 -

Silberstein & Miklos, P.C., known as Ask4Sam, is highlighting its published information on delayed cancer diagnosis for patients and families in Queens. The firm's cancer malpractice material identifies missed signs of disease and inadequate testing as potential grounds for review. The central issue is whether a finding that should have prompted further investigation was missed, delaying diagnosis and causing avoidable harm.

Robert A. Miklos, Esq., a partner at Silberstein & Miklos, P.C., handles complex medical malpractice matters involving cancer and represents patients in Queens. His published professional biography identifies him as president of the Latino Lawyers Association of Queens County and describes his experience with cancer-related malpractice litigation. His work is part of the firm's representation of families examining whether a missed finding delayed a diagnosis.

A cancer diagnosis made later than expected does not, on its own, establish negligence. An assessment must consider what information was available to healthcare professionals at the time and whether their response met the applicable standard of care. It must also examine the connection between any departure from that standard and the injury alleged, rather than treating the diagnosis itself as proof of wrongdoing.

The firm's cancer malpractice information for Queens identifies several circumstances that may warrant investigation. These include missed signs of cancer, failure to order appropriate tests or follow-up tests, and an inadequate examination. The significance of any such circumstance depends on the individual medical record. The existence of a later diagnosis cannot establish what an earlier examination or test should have shown.

For a family trying to understand a delay, the sequence of care provides a starting point. An initial appointment, a test result and a later diagnosis may represent separate stages of the same history. Reviewing those stages together can help identify the information available at each point and the actions taken in response. Gaps in that history need investigation rather than assumptions about their cause.

Ask4Sam's published material also describes communication problems, delays in laboratory evaluations and errors in medical charts as possible contributors to delayed diagnosis. Those examples do not mean that every administrative problem causes a compensable injury. They illustrate why the investigation may need to examine more than the final diagnosis and consider how information moved between the professionals involved in a patient's care.

Medical expertise is central to that assessment. The firm states that its attorneys work directly with medical experts who examine the care a patient received and assess whether it departed from professional standards. In a delayed cancer diagnosis matter, that review connects the available findings with the clinical decisions made. It can also address the separate issue of whether a different response would have affected the patient's outcome.

The firm's discussion of diagnostic delays notes that the consequences depend on the underlying illness and symptoms. That distinction matters in cancer cases. A claim needs evidence connecting the alleged delay to additional harm, rather than attributing every consequence of the disease to a missed finding. The medical circumstances and the timing of care remain part of that analysis.

Patients may be dealing with both an unexpected diagnosis and uncertainty about earlier care. The firm's materials describe listening to each person's account and investigating the medical history with professional support. That approach separates an understandable concern from a conclusion about responsibility. A case assessment can explain the evidence supporting an allegation, the information still needed and the legal options relevant to the individual circumstances.

The Queens cancer and delayed diagnosis pages provide information about the firm's existing services and the kinds of concerns its attorneys examine. They do not determine whether a particular patient has a valid claim. Keeping attention on the missed finding, the resulting delay and the evidence of avoidable harm supports a focused discussion without assuming liability or promising a recovery.

Robert A. Miklos was selected to Super Lawyers for 2025 and 2026 for his work in medical malpractice law. Senior partner Joseph Miklos holds an AV peer rating from Martindale-Hubbell.

Silberstein & Miklos, P.C. is a New York personal injury law firm serving clients in matters involving accidents, medical malpractice, construction injuries, nursing home abuse, wrongful death, and catastrophic injuries.

###

For more information about Silberstein & Miklos, P.C., contact the company here: Silberstein & Miklos, P.C. Joseph Miklos 516 832 7777 jmiklos@ask4sam.net 600 Old Country Road, Garden City NY 11530

Silberstein & Miklos, P.C.

Website: <https://ask4sam.net/>

Email: jmiklos@ask4sam.net

Phone: 516 832 7777